STUDY ON

ONE DECADE OF MFI CRISIS: REVISITING THE RURAL CREDIT MARKET IN ANDHRA PRADESH AND TELANGANA





Centre for Research on Financial Inclusion and Microfinance (CRFIM)

Bankers Institute of Rural Development (BIRD), Lucknow

An ISO 2001:2015 Certified Institution Promoted by NABARD

Foreword

The undivided state of Andhra Pradesh was the epicentre for microfinancing in the country during the decade 2000 – 2010. It was estimated that in 2010, out of the 30 million households using microcredit in India, around one third were in Andhra Pradesh. The State was also the home to five of the country's major NBFC-MFIs. The competition among MFIs and hasty rush for business growth had caused a



massive debt bubble in the state. The average debt outstanding per poor household in Andhra Pradesh was eight times higher than the national average. Further, usurious interest rates on unsecured loans and the coercive recovery practices adopted by MFIs led to panic among borrowers, leading even to suicides across the state. The Ordinance, viz, "The Andhra Pradesh Microfinance Institutions (Regulation of Money Lending) Act, 2010" promulgated by the State Government to contain the crisis in the sector resulted in crippling of the MFI operations in the state during 2010. The ripple effect also slowed the growth of the microfinance industry in other parts of the country. Over the years, the number of operating MFIs had reduced substantially.

The present study, "One Decade of MFI Crisis: Revisiting Rural Credit Market in Andhra Pradesh and Telangana" was contemplated by BIRD, Lucknow to analyse the impact of the MFI crisis on rural credit market in both the states and arrangements to meet financial needs of microFinance clients in post MFI crisis period. The study has jointly been conducted by Centre for Research on Financial Inclusion and Microfinance (CRFIM) at Bankers Institute of Rural Development (BIRD), Lucknow and LEAD at Krea University (Formerly IFMR LEAD). It was observed that the growth of SHG credit linkage programme over the decade and strengthening of other micro credit approaches, particularly the credit linked subsidy schemes served well as alternative sources of credit to the microfinance clients in the post crisis period.

I sincerely believe that findings and recommendations of the study would be useful for policy makers for further consolidation of the microfinance approaches.

I congratulate both LEAD and CRFIM teams for their effort as also thank all the stakeholders and agencies for providing their responses and inputs for the study.

Shankar A. Pande

Director, Bankers Institute of Rural Development, Lucknow

Acknowledgements

The study on "One Decade of MFI Crisis: Revisiting Rural Credit Market in Andhra Pradesh and Telangana"

has jointly been CRFIM, BIRD, Lucknow and LEAD at Krea University (Formerly IFMR LEAD).

We are thankful to the Governing Council, BIRD, Lucknow and Micro Credit Innovations Department

(MCID), NABARD Head Office, Mumbai for their guidance and support.

The study has been guided and supervised by Shri Shankar A. Pande, Director, BIRD, Lucknow without

whose valuable inputs the report would not have been possible.

We are also grateful to Shri Mukesh Vats, Joint Director, BIRD, Lucknow without whose painstaking efforts,

this work couldn't have been completed.

We want to place on record our sincere gratitude to Late Dr. P Satish, Executive Director, Sa-Dhan for

critically reviewing the draft and giving invaluable inputs which helped to bring the report to its present

form.

We acknowledge the support received from NABARD Andhra Pradesh & Telengana Regional Offices and

DDMs of respective districts for facilitating the field study.

We also wish to extend our gratitude to Dr. N Jeyseelan, Sector Expert; Prof. M S Sriram, Sector Expert

and Shri N Srinivasan, Former CGM, NABARD for their valuable inputs.

The study is the result of extensive field work and documentation by the LEAD team led by Ms. Sharon

Buteau, Executive Director, LEAD at Krea University. We acknowledge their efforts and record our

appreciation for the insights and data brought in through the report.

Last but not the least, we express our gratitude to Smt. Deepmala Ghosh, GM, WBRO & the then centre

in-charge and Faculty Members of BIRD, Lucknow and Shri Tamanud Ghosh & Dr. Monika Saini, Research

Officer, BIRD for formulating ToRs and evaluating the report at various stages.

Anukampa Jha

CRFIM In-charge

1

Table of Contents

| Exe | ecutive Summary | 4 |
|-------|--|------------------|
| 1. | Diagnosing the Causes of the Massive Debt Bubble in Andhra Pradesh | 8 |
| | 1.1 Global Beginnings and the Indian Experience | 8 |
| | 1.2 Markets Meet Microfinance | 10 |
| | 1.3 Build-up to the Crisis in Andhra Pradesh | 11 |
| | 1.4 Krishna District Crisis and Other Local Events in Andhra Pradesh | 13 |
| | 1.5 Anatomy of the Crisis | 13 |
| 2. lı | mpact on the Rural Credit Market Following the MFI Crisis | 15 |
| | 2.1 Immediate Impact on MFI Operations | 16 |
| | 2.2 Credit Starvation and Impact on SHGs | 17 |
| | 2.3 The Shift to Informal Lending | 18 |
| | 2.3.1. Emergence of the 'Call Money Lenders' | 20 |
| | 2.4 Medium term impact of the MFI crisis (2013 onwards) | 20 |
| | 2.4.1 Rise in informal sources of credit in the rural market – chit funds, collective inv | |
| | schemes and gold loans | 25 |
| | 2.5 Unintended Consequences of the Crisis | 27 |
| 3. 6 | Growth of SHGs Credit Linkage & Microfinance in Andhra Pradesh | 28 |
| | 3.1 Background | 29 |
| | 3.2 Current SHG Credit Portfolio | 30 |
| | 3.3 Initiatives as a Result of Growth of SHG Credit Linkage | 32 |
| | 3.4 Findings from Our Primary Research | 34 |
| 4. E | Best Practices in Microfinance, in the Post MFI Crisis Period | 38 |
| | 4.1 Projected Growth Trajectory of MFIs in Andhra Pradesh and Telangana in the Absel Ordinance | nce of the 38 |
| | 4.2 Co-existence of JLG and SHG Models in Other States | 40 |
| | 4.3 Possibility for the Entry of MFIs into Andhra Pradesh | 44 |

List of Figures

| Figure 1 Timeline Depicting Evolution of Microfinance in India | 10 |
|--|----|
| Figure 2 Sequential Impact of the Ordinance on the Rural Credit Market in Andhra Pradesh | 16 |
| Figure 3 Repayment to MFIs Over Years | 16 |
| Figure 4 Loans Disbursed by Banks to MFIs | 17 |
| Figure 5 Snapshot of Status of MFIs in Andhra Pradesh | 17 |
| Figure 6 SHG Loan Outstanding (AP&TS) | 18 |
| Figure 7 Alternate Sources of Credit in Absence of MFIs | 18 |
| Figure 8:Challenges in Accessing Credit in Absence of MFIs | 19 |
| Figure 9 Impact of MFIs' Absence on Borrowers | 19 |
| Figure 10:Households with At least One Loan Across States | 21 |
| Figure 11:Incidents of Indebtedness (%) 2016-17, NABARD 2017 | 21 |
| Figure 12: Incidents of Indebtedness (%) (AP, TS, National) | 22 |
| Figure 13: Average Amount Indebtedness per HH(AODL) in INR | 23 |
| Figure 14: Debt- Asset Ratio (2013 vs 2019) | 24 |
| Figure 15: SHG Loan Profile of Andhra Pradesh and Telangana | |
| Figure 16: Factors in favour of SHGs Loans | 30 |
| Figure 17: SHG Loan Portfolio of AP & TS (2010-2022) | 30 |
| Figure 18: Highest SHG Credit Linkage Across States (Mar.'22) | 31 |
| Figure 19: District-wise Share of Outstanding Loans (%) | 31 |
| Figure 20: Mapping SHG Proliferation with Crisis Hit Districts | 32 |
| Figure 21: Borrowing Pattern of the Past Three Years | 34 |
| Figure 22: Purpose of Borrowing | 35 |
| Figure 23: Borrowing for Personal Use | 35 |
| Figure 24: Borrowing for Business Use | 35 |
| Figure 25: Borrowers' Ability to Repay on Time | 36 |
| Figure 26: Participation in SHG Meetings (%) | 37 |
| Figure 27: State Level Portfolio Mix (Mar'22) | 38 |
| Figure 28: Growth in Unique MFI Clients across India | 40 |
| | |
| | |
| List of Tables | |
| Table 1 Credit Flow from MFIs in Andhra Pradesh and Telangana | 17 |
| Table 2: Incidents of Indebtedness by Occupation Category (2013 vs 2019) | 22 |
| Table 3: AODL(INR) by Occupation Category | 23 |
| Table 4: Source of Credit by Occupation Type | 24 |
| Table 5: DAR by Occupation Category | 24 |
| Table 6 Purpose and Utilization of SHG Loans | 36 |
| Table 7 MFI Projections vs SHG Portfolio (AP & TS) | 39 |
| | |